Non-Payment of senior scales of pay to Kendriya Vidyalaya Teachers

834. SHRI N. E. BALARAM; Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that teachers of Kendriya Vidyalayas have not been paid senior scales of pay so far;

(b) if so, what are reasons therefor;

(c) whether the delay caused would be compensated financially; and

(d) if so, in what manner and if not the justification therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA): (a.) to (d) Senior scales of pay, as admissible to different categories of teachers, have been extended to all regions of Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan. As regards the payments in this regard, *the* information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha. The senior scales of pay will be admissible from the date it is due or 1-1-1986, which ever is later.

Continuation of ad-hoc Yoga Teachers

835. SHRI ISH DUTT YADAV: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 1765 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 27th Marchs$_s$ 1990 and state;

(a) what is the maximum duration a teacher can serve in Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan as an ad-hoc appointments;

(b) whether it is a fact that the Supreme Court has ruled that ad-hoc appointees/teachers cannot continue for a period longer that 240 days at a stretch;

(c) if so, the justification for continuing as Yoga Teachers beyond that period;

(d) whether all the Yoga teachers were asked to give their options about undergoing special B.P. Ed. summer course *at* Lakshmibai National College of Physical Education, Gwalior and only 90 opted therefor;

(e) whether the remaining ones are a!so to be trained as Physical Education Teachers; and

(f) if so, the details thereof and if not, the reasons thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RE-SOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA); (a) As per provisions of the Education Code for Kendriya Vidyalayas, a teacher can be appointed on ad-hoc basis in Kendriya Vidyalayas for a period not exceeding six months at a time or till 30th April of the academic year which ever is shorter.

(b) There does not appear to be *such* a ruling in so far as teachers are concerned.

(c) to (f) Teaching of Yoga was started on experimental basis in Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan in 1981-82. Since the scheme of Yoga education was temporary and has been continuing on year to year basis, all Yoga teachers were appointed on ad-boc basis and then- services were also extended on year to year basis. Based on review of the scheme of Yoga educa tion, the Board of Governors of the Sangathan decided in September, 1986 that the teaching of physical education and Yoga should be integrated and that for this purpose physical education teachers should be given training in Yoga and Yoga teachers should acquire adequate qualifications for teaching physical education. Twenty four Yoga teachers who already possessed qualifications prescribed for the post of Physical Education Tea-chers were appointed an such. The

Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangthan nominated teachers to undergo a special B. P. Ed. (summer course) in three semesters in Lakshmi Bal National College of Physical Education (LNC-PE) Gwalior. The Board of Governors of Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan at its meeting held on 21.12.1988 decided that Yoga should be taught as a separate discipline and as such it would not be necessary for Yoga teachers to undergo the course in Physical Education. However out of 95 Yoga teachers who have since acquired the B.P. Ed. qualicafition, 76 Yoga teachers have given their option to foe absorbed as PET's. The question of formal regularisation of these employees as PET's would be placed before the Board of Governors of the Sangathan. In this context, the Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan has found that the Yoga teachers who have not opted for acquiring B.P. Ed. qualification fell into the following categories.

(i) Graduates without Yoga training for a minimum of nine months.

(ii) "Under-gaduates who had the requisite Yoga qualification.

(iii) Under-graduates who had not had the requisite Yoga qualification.

The Sangathan has decided that the above categories of teachers should acquire the qualifications of Graduation and/or Yoga training to be regularised as Yoga teachers. Until they acquire these qualifications they would be continued as ad-hoc Yoga! teachers.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India—Union Gov-eminent, (Commercial), 1989—An Analysis of Oil Pricing arrangements.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI BHAJMAN BEHERA): Madam, On behalf of Shri M. S. Gurupadaswamy I lay on the Table, under clause (1) of article 151 of the Constitution, a copy (in English and Hindi) of the Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India -—Union Government (Commercial) 1S89—An analysis of oil pricing arrangements. [Placed in Library. See No. LT-823/09].

I. Report and Accounts (1988-89) of the Bal Bhavan Society (India), New Delhi and related papers.

II. Report and Accounts (1988-89); of the Indian Museum, Calcutta and related papers.

III. Report and accounts (1988-89) of the Indian Institute of Manage-ment, Bangalore and related ^ papers.

IV. Report and Accounts (1988-89) of the Pondicherry University and related papers.

V. Accounts (1988-89) of the Visva Bharati, Santiniketan and related papers.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA): Madam, I lay on the Table a copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers: —

(i) (a) Annual Report and Accounts of the Bal Bhavan Society (India), New Delhi, for the year 1988-89 together with the Auditors' Report on the Accounts.

(b)' Statement by Government accepting the Report.

(c) Statement giving reasons for the delay in laying the papers mentioned at (a) above. [Placed in Library. For (a) to (c) *See* No. , LT-936/90]

(ii) (a) Annual Report and Ac-) counts of the Indian Museum, Calcutta, for the year 1988-89, together with the Auditors' Report on the Accounts.

(b) Review by Government on the working of the Museum.